UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/566,401 | 01/30/2006 | Marcello Notari | 284318US0XPCT | 3724 | |
| | 7590 04/20/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1 | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET | | | TISCHLER, FRANCES | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1796 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/20/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/566,401 | NOTARI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| FRANCES TISCHLER | 1796 | |

| | FRANCES TISCHLER | 1796 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | liaman with 27 OFD 44 27 mount be 4 | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the plaint(s) is (squiil be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | / Irina S. Zemel/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1796 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues substantially the previously addressed remarks. In addition, Applicant submits that the prior art uses polyestyrene (PS) for different purposes and therefore cannot be combined. However, the use of the polystyrene is not being claimed by Applicant and is, threfore, not being examined. The prior art is being used herein to show that it is known in the art to dissolve PS in a dialkyl carbonate and to precipitate it with an alkylene carbonate or with an alcohol and an alkylene carbonate.